Nucleophilic Addition of Grignard Reagents to $3-Ac$ ylindoles: Stereoselective Synthesis of Highly Substituted Indoline Scaffolds

Lu Wang,[†] Yushang Shao,[‡] and Yuanhong Liu^{*,†}

State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, People's Republic of China, and Department of Chemistry, East China Normal University, 3663 North Zhongshan Road, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China

yhliu@mail.sioc.ac.cn

Received June 26, 2012

3-Acylindoles undergo nucleophilic-type reactions with Grignard reagents to efficiently afford either cis- or trans-substituted indolines, depending on the different quenching procedures. The enolate intermediate could be trapped by aryl acyl chlorides to provide indolines bearing a quaternary carbon center with high stereoselectivity. In contrast, the use of benzyl bromide as an electrophile results in the fragmentation of the indole ring. The indoline products could be easily transformed into indoles through oxidation with DDQ in a one-pot manner.

The indole ring widely occurs as a key structural subunit in numerous natural products and also represents one of the most important building blocks in organic synthesis.¹ Indoles usually act as nucleophiles to engage in electrophilic substitution reactions, mainly at the C-3 position, due to the π -excessive nature of the indolyl aromatic ring.² However, when indoles are substituted with electron-withdrawing groups or there is a leaving group on the indole nitrogen, they can also react with nucleophiles, leading to highly functionalized indole or indoline derivatives.³ Compared with the well-established electrophilic substitution reactions, much less attention has been paid to nucleophilic-type reactions of indoles. As early as 1962, it was reported that phenylmagnesium bromide adds to 1-methyl- or 1,2-dimethyl-3-benzoylindole to give a Michael adduct of 2,3-substituted indolines.⁴ However, only those two examples were examined, and the stereochemical information of the indoline products was not provided. In addition to an acyl substituent, the nitro group has been used to activate the indole ring toward nucleophilic reactions.5 Gribble et al. showed that addition of the enolates of diethyl malonate to 3-nitro-1-(phenylsulfonyl) indole forms trans-3-nitro-2-substituted indoline.^{5c} The studies by Somei's⁶ and Gribble's^{5d-f} research groups

LETTERS 2012 Vol. 14, No. 15 3978–3981

ORGANIC

[†] Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry.

[‡] East China Normal University.

⁽¹⁾ For reviews, see: (a) Indoles; Sundberg, R. J., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, 1996. (b) Indole Alkaloids; Rahman, A., Basha, A., Eds.; Harwood Academic: Amsterdam, 1998. (c) Kochanowska-Karamyan, A. J.; Hamann, M. T. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4489.

^{(2) (}a) Bandini, M.; Eichholzer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9608. (b) Sundberg, R. J. Top Heterocycl. Chem. 2010, 26, 47.

^{(3) (}a) Kishbaugh, T. L. S. Top Heterocycl. Chem. 2010, 26, 117. (b) Gribble, G. W. Pure Appl. Chem. 2003, 75, 1417. (4) Szmuszkovicz, J. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 511.

indicated that employing a leaving group such as a 1-hydroxy-, 1-methoxy, or phenylsulfonyl group on the indole nitrogen can facilitate a formal S_N2' reaction to generate substituted free $N-H$ indoles. Although much progress has been achieved in this field, the development of a highly stereoselective process allowing ready access to 2,3-substituted indolines, $5c$, 7 a ubiquitous scaffold found in natural products and pharmaceutically active compounds, 8 is quite rare. In the course of our studies on indole-based transformations,⁹ we accidently found that 3-acyl indoles could react with Grignard reagents in a Michael addition fashion, leading to dearomatization of the indole nucleus and formation of highly substituted indolines with high levels of stereochemical control. In this communication, we describe a general and mild method for stereoselective synthesis of either *trans*- or *cis*-indolines using Grignard reagents, as well as a detailed study for further transformations of the magnesium enolate intermediates with electrophiles (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

Electrophilic substitution reactions: well known

(5) (a) Pelkey, E. T.; Chang, L.; Gribble, G. W. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1909. (b) Pelkey, E. T.; Gribble, G.W. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1873. (c) Pelkey, E. T.; Gribble, G. W. Synthesis 1999, 1117. (d) Pelkey, E. T.; Barden, T. C.; Gribble, G. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7615. (e) Roy, S.; Gribble, G. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1003. (f) Qian, D. C.; Alford, P. E.; Kishbaugh, T. L. S.; Jones, S. T.; Gribble, G. W. $ARKIVOC$ 2010, No. iv, 66. (g) Alford, P. E.; Kishbaugh, T. L. S.; Gribble, G. W. Heterocycles 2010, 80, 831.

(6) (a) Somei, M.; Kawasaki, T.; Fukui, Y.; Yamada, F.; Kobayashi, T.; Aoyama, H.; Shinmyo, D. Heterocycles 1992, 34, 1877. (b) Yamada, F.; Fukui, Y.; Shinmyo, D.; Somei, M. Heterocycles 1993, 35, 99. (c) Somei, M. Heterocycles 1999, 50, 1157. (d) Yamada, K.; Yamada, F.; Somei, M. Heterocycles 2002, 57, 1231. (e) Yamada, K.; Yamada, F.; Shiraishi, T.; Tomioka, S.; Somei, M. Heterocycles 2009, 77, 971.

(7) For stereoselective synthesis of indolines by other methods, see: (a) Viswanathan, R.; Smith, C. R.; Prabhakaran, E. N.; Johnston, J. N. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3040. (b) Hasegawa, K.; Kimura, N.; Arai, S.; Nishida, A. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6363. (c) Liu, Y.; Xu, W.; Wang, X. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1448. (d) Schammel, A. W.; Boal, B. W.; Zu, L.; Mesganaw, T.; Garg, N. K. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 4687. (e) Cera, G.; Crispino, P.; Monari, M.; Bandini, M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 7803. (f) Nguyen, Q.; Sun, K.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7262.

(8) (a) Boger, D. L.; Boyce, C. W.; Garbaccio, R. M.; Goldberg, J. A. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 787. (b) Horton, D. A.; Bourne, G. T.; Smythe, M. L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 893.

(9) (a) Wang, L.; Li, G.; Liu, Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3786. (b) Xie, X.; Du, X.; Chen, Y.; Liu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9175. (c) Li, G.; Liu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3526. (d) Li, H.; Yang, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6797. (e) Lu, Y.; Du, X.; Jia, X.; Liu, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1517. (f) Li, G.; Wang, E.; Chen, H.; Li, H.; Liu, Y.; Wang, P. G. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9033.

We initially investigated the reaction of 1-methyl-3-benzoylindole 1a with the commercially available Grignard reagent phenylmagnesium bromide. To our delight, treatment of 1a with 2.0 equiv of PhMgBr in THF at 0° C followed by warming to rt and stirring for only 10 min cleanly afforded the cis-2-phenyl-3-benzoylindoline 2a in 81% yield after quenching with MeOH, together with 7% of *trans*-2a (Scheme 2).¹⁰ Further optimization of the quenching procedures indicated that when the reaction was first quenched by MeOH, followed by addition of Et₃N and stirring at 50 °C for 1 h, the *cis*-2a could convert completely to the trans-isomer to afford trans-2a in 82% yield. Obviously, the $cis - trans$ isomerization occurs under basic conditions. The results indicated that a high degree of stereoselectivity for both *cis-* and *trans-*diastereomers could be achieved by choosing the appropriate quenching methods. The ¹H NMR shows similar coupling constants of the vicinal protons in cis-2a and trans-2a (10.4 and 10.0 Hz, respectively). The stereochemistry of cis- or transindolines 2 was confirmed by X-ray crystal analyses of compounds cis-2g, trans-2a, and trans-2e (vide infra).¹¹

The presented procedure via Michael-type addition of Grignard reagents to indoles provides the indoline scaffold in only one step and with high stereoselectivity. Therefore, we were interested in the scope and limitations of this reaction. We first investigated the reactions of PhMgBr with various 3-acylindoles under the conditions for the trans-isomers of indoline 2, and the results are shown in Figure 1. An N-benzyl-protected indole reacted smoothly to afford indoline 2b in 73% yield. The presence of electron-withdrawing groups such as Boc or $COMMe₂$ on the indole nitrogen did not influence the reaction, leading to 2c and 2d in 92% and 79% yields, respectively. However, an Ac-protected indole gave 2e in a lower yield of 58%. The functionality of 5-Br, 5-CN, and 5-BnO on the indole ring could also be incorporated into the reaction procedures, furnishing the Michael adducts $2f-2h$ in high yields of $84-88\%$. Especially, the sensitive cyano group was also well tolerated (2g). As for carbonyl substituents $(R²)$ on the acyl moiety, a furanyl or alkenyl group as $R²$ was found to be compatible for this reaction (2i and 2j).

⁽¹⁰⁾ We found that cis -2a is not so stable in the air, which can convert to indole slowly. The indole product might be formed through air oxidation. It is recommended column chromatography be performed immediately after the reaction is complete.

⁽¹¹⁾ X-ray crystal structures of cis -2g, trans-2a, trans-2e, and 4a are given in the Supporting Information.

Figure 1. Synthesis of indolines through the reactions with PhMgBr. All yields are isolated yields. ^aReaction time is 1 h for the first step.

When R^2 is a bulky 'Bu group, a mixture of two diastereomers were obtained, in which cis-2k was predominant, indicating the *cis* to *trans* isomerization could not complete under the standard reaction conditions. The less hindered ^{*i*}Pr group (\mathbb{R}^2) provided an excellent yield of 2l. However, the smaller size methyl group as the \mathbb{R}^2 substituent gave a mixture of 1,4- and 1,2-adducts (2m and 3), which may be due to the steric and/or electronic effects of the 3-Ac group.

Next, we examined the reactions of 3-acylindoles with various Grignard reagents to produce trans-indolines 2. As shown in Figure 2, a variety of Grignard reagents are compatible with this transformation. Vinyl- as well as substituted vinylmagnesium bromides were suitable nucleophiles, giving rise to $2n$ and $2o$ in $58-60\%$ yields. Employing ethylmagnesium bromide afforded 2p in a lower yield of 44%. Aromatic Grignard reagents bearing electron-withdrawing or -donating groups were all proven to be efficient in inducing the nucleophilic addition reaction, and the corresponding *trans*-indolines $2q-2s$ were obtained in $79-93\%$ yields. In the cases of Grignard reagents with electron-withdrawing groups,¹² the reactions became slower (2q and 2r), probably due to the lower nucleophilicity of these Grignard reagents. Heteroaryl Grignard reagents such as 3-benzothienyl and

Figure 2. Synthesis of indolines through the reactions with various Grignard reagents. All yields are isolated yields. "Grignard reagent was prepared by iodine-magnesium exchange reaction.¹² ^bGrignard reagent was prepared by the reaction of aryl halide with Mg.

2-pyridylmagnesium bromide¹² were also accommodated (2t and 2u).

Under the optimized reaction conditions for cis-isomers, cis-indolines could also be obtained smoothly. Representative results are shown in Figure 3. In these reactions, only $5-6\%$ of *trans*-isomers were isolated.

Figure 3. Typical examples for cis-indolines 2.

It is well-known that the enolate intermediates of conjugate addition reactions can be trapped by various electrophiles.¹³ We envisioned that the magnesium enolate might be formed in our reaction system, which may react with electrophiles to produce more complex derivatives. Along this line, the magnesium enolate generated by the reaction of 1a and PhMgBr was trapped with aryl acyl chlorides, giving the corresponding diacyl-indoline products 4 with a quaternary carbon center in good yields (Scheme 3). Interestingly, in all cases, only one diastereomer was formed. The relative stereochemistry is confirmed

^{(12) (4-(}Ethoxycarbonyl)phenyl)magnesium bromide and 2-pyridylmagnesium bromide were prepared by iodine-magnesium exchange reactions; see: (a) Boymond, L.; Rottländer, M.; Cahiez, G.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1701. (b) Furukawa, N.; Shibutani, T.; Fujihara, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5845.

^{(13) (}a) Teichert, J. F.; Feringa, B. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2486. (b) Rathgeb, X.; March, S.; Alexakis, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5737. (c) Howell, G. P.; Fletcher, S. P.; Geurts, K.; ter Horst, B.; Feringa, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14977.

 $1a$

1.5 equiv $0°C$ -rt, 1h Me Me $\overline{4}$ 4a, Ar = p -MeC₆H₄, 84%; 4b, Ar = p -CIC₆H₄, 76% 4c, Ar = 2-furanyl, 92%

by X-ray crystal analysis of compound $4a$, 11 which clearly shows that the $-COAr$ group derived from acyl chloride and the phenyl group are in a trans configuration.

We propose the following mechanism for the observed stereoselectivity (Scheme 4). First, enolate 5 is formed through a nucleophilic addition reaction. Protonation or reaction with electrophiles proceeds preferentially from the less hindered side of 5, i.e., *trans* to the larger $R³$ group, leading to cis-2 or 4. To our surprise, when benzyl bromide was used as an electrophile, a ring-opening reaction occurred to generate 1,2-difunctionalized benzene 6 in 50% yield as a mixture of alkene isomers (Scheme 5, eq 1). In this case, the enolate intermediate may attack the electrophile through its nitrogen atom, leading to fragmentation of the indole ring. It should be noted that ring-opening reactions of indoles are quite rare.¹⁴ Finally, we tried to apply the method for the synthesis of 2,3-disubstituted indoles in a one-pot procedure. After screening various oxidants, we found that addition of 2.0 equiv of DDQ to the reaction mixture could produce indole 7 in 89% yield (Scheme 5, eq 2).

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient process for the stereoselective synthesis of either *cis*- or *trans*-indolines via nucleophilic addition of Grignard reagents to 3-acylindoles. The enolate intermediates could be trapped efficiently by aryl acyl chlorides to afford indolines bearing a quaternary carbon center with high stereoselectivity. The use of benzyl bromide as an electrophile resulted in the ring opening of indoles. In

 $0₀$

Scheme 5

addition, the indoline product could be easily transformed into indoles through oxidation with DDQ in a one-pot manner. The resulting indoline and indole derivatives are attractive building blocks for further synthetic manipulations. Further studies to expand the reaction scope are in progress.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21125210, 21121062), Chinese Academy of Science and the Major State Basic Research Development Program (Grant No. 2011CB808700) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental details, spectroscopic characterization of all new compounds, and X-ray crystallography of compounds cis-2g, trans-2a, trans-2e, and 4a are given in the Supporting Information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹⁴⁾ For the fragmentation of indole rings, see: (a) Gribble, G. W.; Saulnier, M. G. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 607. (b) Johnson, D. A.; Gribble, G. W. Heterocycles 1986, 24, 2127. (c) Reference 5a, 5b. (d) Kolotaev, A. V.; Belen'kii, L. I.; Kononikhin, A. S.; Krayushkin, M. M. Russ. Chem. Bull. Int. Ed. 2006, 55, 892. (e) Lee, S.; Park, S. B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5214. (f) Vecchione, M. K.; Sun, A. X.; Seidel, D. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 2178. (g) Knepper, I.; Iaroshenko, V. O.; Vilches-Herrera, M.; Domke, L.; Mkrtchyan, S.; Zahid, M.; Villinger, A.; Langer, P. Tetrahedron
2011, 67, 5293.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.